



RISK ASSESSMENT FORM

RISK		work for NDS office based staff during COVID- I in the HM Government document: Working safely dur	
NAME OF ASSESSOR(s)	Adam Lambert (DSO)	RA Number:	RA#_032_COVID_RTOSW_Offices_V0.1
DATE OF ASSESSMENT	09-09-2020	REVIEW DATE:	ТВD

Introduction / Background:

The objective of this document is to assess those risks specifically concerned with NDS staff returning to work during the COVID-19 pandemic, with the aim being to reduce the risks to their lowest level as far is reasonably practicable by taking preventative measures in order of priority. The document has been written based on the guidance outlined in the HM Government document: Working safely during COVID-19 in offices and contact centres (12th August 2020).

The approach to both risk assessment and management are as per the Health and Safety Executive guidance signposted by the HM Government document. Risks are scored on a 'likelihood and consequence' basis both before control measures have been considered (initial risk score) and a target risk score after the implementation of those measures (adjusted risk score). Details of this are shown in Appendix A.

It should be noted that the failure to complete suitable risk assessments which address COVID-19, or to fail to put control measures in place to address those risks, could constitute a breach of health and safety law. This could result in the closure of venues under the current, *Health Protection (Coronavirus, Restrictions, England, No. 3) regulations 2020*

Scope and process

This initial, generic risk assessment will be carried out by the NDS Departmental Safety Officer (DSO). This will be circulated as a guidance document to members of the NDS who wish to reintroduce their office based staff. This, in conjunction with an 'NDS: COVID_Office_Risk Assessment' template should then be used by office managers / line managers/PI's as a basis for completing their own specific risk assessments which should address the hazards and required control measures which are characteristic to their individual office settings (based on factors such as location, access, size, layout etc).

Risk Assessment

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures	Initial risk score* (see App. A)	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score * (see App. A)
Risk category [1]	Who should go to work? individuals working from	Objectives: Protect people at higher risk, to e nome (WFH).	nsure those rec	quired to self isolate do so, to pro	otect the me	ental health	and wellb	eing of those
Staff at a high risk of infection and/or adverse outcome.	Office based staff who fall into the clinically vulnerable or extremely vulnerable categories. (see the Public Health England (PHE) report): Disparities in the risk and outcomes of COVID- 19 <i>N.B. There is also</i> <i>extensive guidance</i> <i>available at request for</i> <i>staff members who have</i> <i>concerns about RTOSW.</i> <i>See the MSD Bronze BCP</i> <i>document:</i> <i>Guidance on supporting</i> <i>staff with concerns</i> <i>about onsite working</i>	If office based duties can be carried out effectively from home (whether it is on a part or full time basis) and the staff member and their line manager are happy with the arrangement, then such arrangements should still be encouraged. If home working is not an option, these individuals should be offered the safest available onsite roles (COVID-secure environments). Meaningful consultation between the line manager / member of staff. This will be facilitated by a return to onsite working induction meeting. <i>Self-assessment of vulnerability can be</i> <i>accessed via the Occupation Health (OH)</i> <i>website:</i> <u>https://occupationalhealth.admin.ox.ac.uk</u> <i>Where necessary advice can be sought</i> <i>directly from OH.</i>	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)	Put systems in place so that people know how and when to notify you if they fall into one of these categories.				Rare, Catastrophic (5)
Staff required to self-isolate		Ensure individuals who are advised to stay at home under existing government guidance, do not physically come to work. This includes individuals who have symptoms of COVID-19, those who live in a household or are in a support bubble with someone who has symptoms and those who are advised to self-isolate as part of the government's test and trace service.						

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
Mental health: Monitoring the wellbeing of people who are working from home (especially those affected through isolation or anxiety).	Staff who have been working from home but are keen to return to onsite working.	Where this is possible and beneficial, a RTOSW should be encouraged and facilitated if possible for these staff, be it a full time or part time arrangement.	Possible, Major (12)					Unlikely, Moderate (6)
Helping home workers to stay connected to the rest of the workforce, especially if the majority of their colleagues are on-site.	Those who are unable to physically attend work at this period, especially those whose wellbeing and mental health is at additional risk due to health, personal, family/social or economic circumstances	Extensive measures have been put in place as part of the departments Athena Swan initiative, including: -NDS Health and Wellbeing webpages -Slack, a social and community page -The introduction of a mental health 'First Aid' team and support network -A department wide mental health and wellbeing survey -The Workload Support scheme		OH referral if personal stress and anxiety issues are identified				
Risk category [2]	_	k, arriving and leaving work. Objective	es: Avoid public	transport where possible, maintain a	government	guidelines o	on social	distancing,
Over-crowding on public transport may compromise the ability to maintain social distancing leading to increased risk of transmission of Covid-19	face coverings and hygin Staff, general public	Avoid using public transport if possible. Individuals sharing vehicles should preferably be from the same household or 'support bubble' Workers travelling to work together should be grouped into cohorts or shifts Staff who need to use public transport to comply with government guidelines on face coverings, social distancing and hand hygiene.	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)	Determine travel arrangements as part of the RTOSW Induction process.				Rare, Catastrophic (5)

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
		The University is promoting the use Of personal transport through such schemes as the: Bike to Work scheme, Additional (temporary) Covid-19 parking permits	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)					Rare, Catastrophic (5)
Increase in the number of office based staff RTOSW will lead to overcrowding at entry / egress points to buildings, leading to potential increased transmission	All staff	Stagger arrival and departure times Reduce congestion by having more than one entry point to the office Use markings and one-way flow at entry and exit points where possible Providing handwashing / sanitisation facilities at entry / egress areas Regular decontamination of touch points such as keypads, door handles						
Risk category [3]	Safe (social) distancing	at work. Objectives: Ensuring worke	rs maintain soci	al distancing guidelines (2m, or 1m w	/ith risk miti	gation)	I	
Increased risk of transmission by staff not adhering to social distancing guidelines in the office	All staff, visitors, patients, contractors	Maintain social distance of 2m wherever possible (or 1m with mitigation measures [<i>see below</i>]) Where the social distancing guidelines cannot be followed in full in relation to a particular activity, consider whether that activity can be redesigned to maintain a 2m distance or 1m with risk mitigations where 2m is not viable.	Possible, Catastrophic (15)	Provide information, instruction and training so staff are aware of processes and their responsibilities Provide signage and ways to communicate with non- employees (visitors, contractors)		<u> </u>		Rare, Catastrophic (5)

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
		 Mitigating actions include: University policy is now to wear a face covering (non-clinical settings) at all times, the exemptions being: Single occupancy offices In shared offices where 2m distancing can be maintained When eating/drinking Where protective screens are in place Those who need to lip read Further increasing the frequency of hand washing and surface cleaning. Keeping the activity time involved as short as possible. Using screens or barriers to separate people from each other. Using back-to-back or side-to-side working (rather than faceto-face) whenever possible. Staggered work periods for staff (facilitated by a calendar/rota). Using fixed teams or adjusting booking processes to reduce the number of people in the office at the same time to avoid overcrowding 	Possible, Catastrophic (15)					Rare, Catastrophic (5)
Increased risk of transmission due to social distancing not being maintained as staff move between areas	All staff	Reduce movement (i.e. non- essential trips) around the building where possible Use directional signs / marker tape or arrows to direct people						

Y:\ndsadmin\health and safety\Coronavirus\ RA#_032_COVID_RTOSW_Offices 5 of 13

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
		Implement one-way flow where possible						
		Reduce maximum occupancy in lifts						
Increased risk of transmission during face to face work meetings	All staff	Discourage face to face meetings, use remote working tools	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)	Ensure staff have the required remote working tools				Rare, Catastrophic (5)
to face work meetings		Avoid sharing objects such as pens, documents, pointers, etc	(10)	Provision of hand sanitisers in meeting rooms.				
		Where face to face meetings are essential maintain 2m rule (or 1m with risk mitigation)		For areas where regular meetings take place, using floor signage to help people maintain social- distancing.				
Increased chance of transmission due to staff sharing common interaction areas	All staff	Staggering break times to reduce pressure on break rooms or places to eat.	Possible, Catastrophic (15)					Rare, Catastrophic (5)
		Using safe outside areas for breaks.						
		Encouraging workers to bring their own food to avoid the use of canteens and food retail outlets.						
		Reconfiguring seating and tables to maintain spacing and reduce face-to-face interactions.						
		Encouraging staff to remain on-site and, when not possible, maintaining social distancing while off-site.						
		Regulating use of locker areas, changing rooms to reduce concurrent use						

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
Risk category [4]	Office space, workplace	ces and workstations. Objectives: Mai	intain social dist	ancing between individuals when the	 y are at thei	r desks/wor	kstations	. Avoid
	sharing of workstation	s where possible						
Increased risk of transmission due to poor configuration of offices leading to inability to maintain 2m (1m with risk mitigation) distance	All office based staff	Review layouts and processes to allow people to work further apart from each other. Using floor tape to mark areas to help people comply with social distancing guidelines (2m, or 1m with risk mitigation) Only where it is not possible to move workstations further apart, arranging people to work side by side or facing away from each other rather than face-to-face. Only where it is not possible to move workstations further apart, using screens to separate people from each other. Managing occupancy levels to enable social distancing. Avoiding use of hot desks. If desks	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)					Rare, Catastrophic (5)
		must be shared then this should be in cohorts of staff and they should be cleaned/disinfected between different users (see Cleaning regimes below)						
Risk category [5]	-	d other incidents. Objectives: Prioritise		ncidents	1		r	
Increased risk of transmission due to accidents, security and other incidents. N.B. In an emergency,	All staff, visitors, contractors	Review incident and emergency procedures to ensure they reflect the social distancing principles as far as possible	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)	CPR not to be advised unless specialist safety equipment is available. Call 2222				Rare, Catastrophic (5)
for example, a chemical		Consider security implications						

Y:\ndsadmin\health and safety\Coronavirus\ RA#_032_COVID_RTOSW_Offices 7 of 13

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
spill or fire people do not have to stay 2m apart if it would be unsafe.		(such as leaving doors open to avoid touch points, contamination of door handles, keypads etc) Consider the impact that reduced	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)	Accidents, incidents and near misses should continue to be reported using the IRIS system.				Rare, Catastrophic (5)
		staffing levels (imposed by shift patterns/rotas) will have on the provision of First Aid or other safety staff such as fire wardens, RPS etc						
Risk category [6]	submitted/reviewed	ce. N.B. A Buildings Risk Assessment for all site						sessment is
Reintroduction of staff will lead to increased transmission by touching contaminated surfaces	Office staff Visitors	Frequent cleaning of work areas and equipment between uses, using normal cleaning products.	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)	Where offices are in OUH Trust space: Contact OUH Trust to determine if cleaning staff have been instructed to be more				Rare, Catastrophic (5)
and spreading to other surfaces	Cleaning staff Service engineers and contractors	Frequent cleaning of objects and surfaces that are touched regularly including door handles and keyboards.		vigilant with the cleaning of potentially contaminant surfaces with effective products				
		Clearing workspaces and removing waste and belongings from the work area at the end of a shift.		Contact OUH Trust to determine what additional procedures are in place for cleaning and decontamination after known/or suspected cases of COVID-19				
		Limiting or restricting use of high- touch items and equipment, for example, printers or whiteboards.						
		If cleaning after a known or suspected case of COVID-19 then you should refer to the specific guidance.						
		Providing extra non recycling bins for workers and visitors to dispose of single use face coverings and PPE.						

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures in place	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
Lack of the provision of hand washing, sanitiser will lead to poor hygiene standards increasing the risk of transmission by touching contaminated surfaces	Office staff Visitors Cleaning staff Service engineers and contractors	 Providing hand sanitiser in multiple locations in addition to washrooms. Setting clear use and cleaning guidance for toilets to ensure they are kept clean and social distancing is achieved as much as possible. Enhancing cleaning for busy areas. Providing more waste facilities and more frequent rubbish collection. Providing hand drying facilities – either paper towels or electrical 	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)					Rare, Catastrophic (5)
Lack of staff awareness leading to poor hygiene and increased risk of transmission		dryers. Using signs and posters to build awareness of good handwashing technique, the need to increase handwashing frequency, avoid touching your face and to cough or sneeze into a tissue which is binned safely, or into your arm if a tissue is not available. Providing regular reminders and						
Increased risk of contamination in changing rooms, showers	All staff	signage to maintain personal hygiene standards. Discourage use of such facilities where possible. Where changing rooms and showers are required, maintain social distancing and set clear use and cleaning guidance. Introduce enhanced cleaning of such facilities						

Hazard	Affected groups	Control measures in place	Initial risk score	Further actions required	Date required	Risk owner(s)	Done?	Adjusted risk score
Risk category [7]	Handling deliveries.	Dbjective: To reduce the risk of transmi	ission through co	ontact with items that come into the	workplace			1
Increased risk of transmission through contact with objects that come into the workplace such as deliveries of equipment, consumables and mail	All staff receiving or handling external goods, deliveries or equipment	Introduction of cleaning procedures for material and equipment entering the site Provision of disposable latex/nitrile gloves for staff receiving goods or mail Encouraging increased handwashing and introducing more handwashing facilities for workers handling deliveries or providing hand sanitiser where this is not practical.	Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)					Rare, Catastrophic (5)
		Restricting non-business deliveries, for example, personal deliveries to staff						
Risk of surface transmission when goods enter and leave the site.	All staff handling goods in, goods out		Unlikely, Catastrophic (10)	Revising pick-up and drop-off collection points, procedures, signage and markings. Considering methods to reduce frequency of deliveries, for example by ordering larger quantities less often.				Rare, Catastrophic (5)
Risk category [8]		ve: Protect the health, wellbeing and s		equired to work in isolation or out of	hours			D
Shift rotas or reduced staffing levels may lead to an increase in the requirement for staff to work out of hours (lone working) or in isolation leading to an increased risk of accidents/injury.	Office staff required to carry out work individually or out of hours	Refer to the Nuffield Department of Surgical Sciences (NDS) Lone working policy / standard and guidelines for risk assessment	Possible, Major (12)					Rare, Moderate (3)

APPENDIX A

Risk scoring:

		Consequence has bee	n determined these should l	be plotted on the matrix below	to grade the risk		In grading risk, the scores obtained from the risk matrix are assigned
Like	lihood	1	2	3	4	5	
		Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost certain	grades as follows:
	5 Catastrophic	5	10	15	20	25	1 – 3 Low risk
	4 Major	4	8	12	16	20	LOW TISK
	3 Moderate	3	6	9	12	15	4 – 6 Moderate risk
duence	2 Minor	2	4	6	8	10	8 – 12 High risk
Conse	1 Negligible	1	2	3	4	5	15 – 25 Extreme risk

	1	2	3	4	5
Descriptor	Rare	Unlikely	Possible	Likely	Almost certain
Frequency	This will probably	Do not expect it to	Might happen or recur	Will probably happen/recur but	Will undoubtedly
(general)	never happen/recur	happen/recur but it is	occasionally	it is not a persisting issue	happen/recur, possibly
How often might		possible it may do so			frequently
it/does it happen					
Frequency	Not expected to occur	Expected to occur at least	Expected to occur at least	Expected	Expected to occur at least
(timeframe)	for years	annually	monthly		daily
Probability	<0.1 per cent	0.1-1 per cent	1-10 per cent	10-50 per cent	>50 per cent
Will it happen or not	en serva - Processon anno 6000000				

Consequence score (s	everity levels) and example	es of descriptors (not an exhaustive	list)		
	1	2	3	4	5
Domains	Negligible	Minor	Moderate	Major	Catastrophic
Impact on the safety of participants/patients,	Minimal injury requiring no/minimal intervention or treatment	Minor injury or illness, requiring minor intervention	Moderate injury requiring professional intervention	Major injury leading to long-term incapacity/disability	Incident leading to death Multiple permanent injuries or irreversible hea
staff or public (physical/					effects
psychological)	No time off work	Requiring time off work for >3 days	Requiring time off work for 4-14 days	Requiring time off work for >14 days	An event which impacts on a large number of patients' care
			An event which impacts on a small number of participants/patients with minimal impact to their care pathway.	Loss of material which impacts on a patient's care pathway with long-term effects	
			RIDDOR/agency reportable incident		
Impact on research	Loss of single, replaceable sample	Loss of small number of replaceable samples	Loss of irreplaceable / precious samples impacting current research	Loss of large number of samples impacting the University's current and future research programme	Loss of large number of irreplaceable sample major impact on resources available to worldwide research programmes
	Minor delay to research study (3 days or less)	Significant delay to research study (4-14 days)	Substantial delay to research study (weeks)	Severe delay to research study (months), samples in guarantine	Study cancelled – complete stop to research project
	No breach of protocol	Breach of protocol investigated by CTRG	Breach of terms of ethical approval / protocol reported to REC	Severe breach of terms of ethical approval / protocol or severe adverse event reported to REC	Suspension of study sponsorship
Impact on premises / facilities / equipment	Minor equipment malfunction, loss of consumables	Equipment malfunction requiring maintenance	Equipment malfunction requiring replacement	Severe equipment mailfunction, equipment cannot be replaced	Multiple severe equipment malfunctions causi complete interruption to activity
	Minor damage to facilities / premises	Damage to facilities / premises, insurance claim, intervention of facilities team	Damage to facilities / premises, single room temporarily unusable / inaccessible	Severe damage to facilities / premises, several rooms temporarily unusable / inaccessible	Permanent damage / destruction to facilities / premises, complete / permanent loss of acces
Quality / complaints / audit	Peripheral element of treatment or service suboptimal	Overall service suboptimal	Treatment or service has significantly reduced effectiveness	Non-compliance with national standards with significant risk to the HTA Licence 12217 if unresolved	Totally unacceptable level or quality of treatment/service
	Informal complaint/inquiry	Formal complaint (stage 1)	Formal complaint (stage 2)	Multiple complaints/independent review	Inquest/ombudsman inquiry
		Local resolution	Local resolution (with potential to go to independent review)		
		Single failure to meet internal standards/processes	Repeated failure to meet internal standards/processes	Critical report	Gross failure to meet national HTA Licence 12217 standards
		Reduced performance rating if unresolved		Low performance rating	
Statutory duty / inspections	No or minimal impact or breach of guidance/statutory duty	Reduced performance rating if unresolved	Single breach in statutory duty	Multiple breaches in statutory duty	Multiple breaches in statutory duty
				Low performance rating	Zero performance rating
		Non-compliance with HTA standard	Challenging external recommendations/improvement notice	Enforcement action	Prosecution
				Improvement notices	Complete systems change required
			Non-compliance with multiple HTA standards, minor shortfalls identified in HTA inspection report	Major shortfalls identified in HTA inspection report	Critical shortfalls identified in HTA inspection report, loss or suspension of HTA Licence. Prosecution of DI
Adverse publicity / reputation	Rumours	Local media coverage with <3 days reduction in public confidence	Local media coverage with >3 days reduction in public confidence	National media coverage with <3 days reduction in public expectation	National media coverage with >3 days reduction in public expectation.
	Potential for public concern	Elements of public expectation not being met			Total loss of public confidence MP concerned (guestions in the House)
Business objectives / projects	Insignificant cost increase/schedule slippage	<5 percent over project budget	5-10 per cent over project budget	Non-compliance with national 10-25 per cent over project budget	Incident leading >25 per cent over project budget
		Schedule slippage	Schedule slippage	Schedule slippage	Schedule slippage
				Key objectives not met	Key objectives not met
Finance including claims/loss of funding	Small loss, risk of claim /loss of funding remote	Loss of 0.1-0.25 per cent of budget/ funding	Loss of 0.25-0.5 per cent of budget/funding	Uncertain delivery of key objective/Loss of 0.5-1.0 per cent of budget/funding	Non-delivery of key objective/Loss of >1 per o of budget/funding
		Claim less than £10,000	Claim(s) between £10,000 and £100,000	Claim(s) between £100,000 and £1 million	Claim(s) >£1 million
Service / business interruption	Loss/interruption of >1 hour but < a day	Loss/interruption of > 8 hours but < a day	Loss/interruption of > 1 day but < a week	Loss/interruption of > 1 week	Permanent loss of service or facility
Environmental impact	Minimal or no impact on the environment	Minor impact on environment	Moderate impact on environment	Major impact on environment	Catastrophic impact on environment

Review and update log.				
Date of review or update	Details			
10/09/2020	First Draft for approval			